OPEN ACCESS

African Journal of **Biotechnology**

27 March 2019
ISSN 1684-5315
DOI: 10.5897/AJB
www.academicjournals.org

ACADEMIC JOURNALS
expand your knowledge

About AJB

The African Journal of Biotechnology (AJB) is a peer reviewed journal which commenced publication in 2002. AJB publishes articles from all areas of biotechnology including medical and pharmaceutical biotechnology, molecular diagnostics, applied biochemistry, industrial microbiology, molecular biology, bioinformatics, genomics and proteomics, transcriptomics and genome editing, food and agricultural technologies, and metabolic engineering. Manuscripts on economic and ethical issues relating to biotechnology research are also considered.

Indexing

CAB Abstracts, CABI's Global Health Database, Chemical Abstracts (CAS Source Index) Dimensions Database, Google Scholar, Matrix of Information for The Analysis of Journals (MIAR), Microsoft Academic, Research Gate

Open Access Policy

Open Access is a publication model that enables the dissemination of research articles to the global community without restriction through the internet. All articles published under open access can be accessed by anyone with internet connection.

The African Journals of Biotechnology is an Open Access journal. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published in this journal are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication without any form of restriction.

Article License

All articles published by African Journal of Biotechnology are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited. Citation should include the article DOI. The article license is displayed on the abstract page the following statement:

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0
Please refer to https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode for details
about Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

Article Copyright

When an article is published by in the African Journal of Biotechnology, the author(s) of the article retain the copyright of article. Author(s) may republish the article as part of a book or other materials. When reusing a published article, author(s) should; Cite the original source of the publication when reusing the article. i.e. cite that the article was originally published in the African Journal of Biotechnology. Include the article DOI Accept that the article remains published by the African Journal of Biotechnology (except in occasion of a retraction of the article) The article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

A copyright statement is stated in the abstract page of each article. The following statement is an example of a copyright statement on an abstract page.

Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.

Self-Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Biotechnology is a RoMEO green journal. This permits authors to archive any version of their article they find most suitable, including the published version on their institutional repository and any other suitable website.

Please see http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/search.php?issn=1684-5315

Digital Archiving Policy

The African Journal of Biotechnology is committed to the long-term preservation of its content. All articles published by the journal are preserved by Portico. In addition, the journal encourages authors to archive the published version of their articles on their institutional repositories and as well as other appropriate websites.

https://www.portico.org/publishers/ajournals/

Metadata Harvesting

The African Journal of Biotechnology encourages metadata harvesting of all its content. The journal fully supports and implement the OAI version 2.0, which comes in a standard XML format. See Harvesting Parameter

Memberships and Standards

Academic Journals strongly supports the Open Access initiative. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published by Academic Journals are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication.

Crossref is an association of scholarly publishers that developed Digital Object Identification (DOI) system for the unique identification published materials. Academic Journals is a member of Crossref and uses the DOI system. All articles published by Academic Journals are issued DOI.

Similarity Check powered by iThenticate is an initiative started by CrossRef to help its members actively engage in efforts to prevent scholarly and professional plagiarism. Academic Journals is a member of Similarity Check.

CrossRef Cited-by Linking (formerly Forward Linking) is a service that allows you to discover how your publications are being cited and to incorporate that information into your online publication platform. Academic Journals is a member of CrossRef Cited-by.

Academic Journals is a member of the International Digital Publishing Forum (IDPF). The IDPF is the global trade and standards organization dedicated to the development and promotion of electronic publishing and content consumption.

Contact

Editorial Office: ajb@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJB

Submit manuscript online http://ms.academicjournals.org

Academic Journals
73023 Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria
ICEA Building, 17th Floor,
Kenyatta Avenue, Nairobi, Kenya.

Editor-in-Chief

Editorial Board Members

Table of Content

Vol. 18(13), pp. 275-279, 27 March, 2019
DOI: 10.5897/AJB2018.16712
Article Number: 190043D60561
ISSN: 1684-5315

http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB

ACADEMIC JOURNALS
expand your knowledge

African Journal of Biotechnology

Review

Ultra high dilutions: A review on *in vitro* studies against pathogens

Aditya Dilipkumar Patil, Anuj Deepakrao Chinche, Atul Kumar Singh, Sana Parvej Peerzada, Snehal Ashok Barkund, Jay Nilesh Shah and Arun Bhargav Jadhav*

Department of Homoeopathic Pharmacy, Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Katraj, Pune – 411043, Maharashtra, India.

Received 20 November 2018; Accepted 13 March, 2019

Multiple *in vitro* studies using homeopathic medicines are conducted for their effectiveness against various microbes. The reporting of results and the methodology in many studies are a query. The present review reveals the evidence based medicinal effects of homeopathic medicines on various plant and human pathogens *in vitro* with the help of quality studies. The studies showed positive outcome for homeopathic medicines. Thus, homeopathy is an effective agent in *in vitro* studies. However, substantial evidence on these serially diluted medicines must be replicated with the help of a standardized methodology for more precise evidences and conclusion.

Key words: Homeopathy, *in vitro*, manuscript information score, review.

INTRODUCTION

Homeopathy is a bicentennial system of medicine founded by Samuel Hahnemann (1755-1843), based on principle of “Similia Similibus Curentur”, which was revealed after repeated human experimentation and is currently used by approximately 500 million consumers (Manchanda, 2018). Hahnemann put forth the theory of “vital force” which believed that the succussed medicine shows medicinal effects, even beyond the Avogadro’s constant unit, which turns homeopathy as a science of quantum mechanics following the principle of quantum field theory (Khuda-Bukhsh, 2003). Homeopathy has been always challenged for its high dilution properties, clinical methodologies and its mechanism of action (Manchanda, 2018). The similia principle and the dynamization phenomena of the homeopathic medicines, in these recent years, are implemented in preclinical studies (*in vitro* and *in vivo*), in testing the mechanism of these highly diluted medicines in various models of biological system (Bellavite et al., 2006; Clausen et al., 2011). The concentrations of these serial diluted medicines are found to be less than 1 g molecule surpassing the Avogadro’s Constant (6.024×10^{23}) which is implausible in interpreting the concept with dose-dependent model of modern pharmacology (Teixeira and Carneiro, 2017).

In vitro studies are aimed to create evidence,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: arun_jadhav1234@rediffmail.com. Tel: (+91) 7387191547.

understand the mechanism and validate the role of certain medicine against a particular condition. Of late, there have been various *in vitro* experiments performed on plant, animal and human cellular models in plausibly explaining the action of these ultra-high diluted medicines (Manchanda, 2018). Despite the multiple studies, the reporting of results and the methodology are a query.

Homeopathic Materia Medica lacks in understanding the principle of “Similia Similibus Curentur” in specific species of pathogens in their preclinical experiments (Teixeira and Carneiro, 2017). The present review reveals the evidence based medicinal effects of homeopathic medicines on various pathogens *in vitro* with the help of quality studies (Tables 1 and 2). This can lead to a mean development in the field of homeopathy in form of a new preclinicalHomeopathic Materia Medica on pathogens.

IN VITRO EXPERIMENTS AND HOMEOPATHY

According to Asha et al. (2014), *Thuja occidentalis* (Q, 30 C, 1 M, 10 M and 50 M) had a significant inhibition among all the 5 fungal genera species of *Fusarium, Aspergillus flavus, Bipolaris, Exserohilum* and *Curvularia;* however, exact mechanism of action of *Thuja* is unknown and can be future perspective of research in this fungal cultures (Asha et al., 2014).

In the study of Chakraborty et al. (2015), *Aconite napellus* (6C, 30C, 200C) showed bactericidal activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* and also identifed the nano-sized particle through Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope of *Aconite* (Chakraborty et al., 2015).

Damin et al., (2015) studied homeopathic medicines like *Arsenicum album, Calcarea carbonica, Kali iodatum, Phosphorus, Silicea, Staphysagria, Spodoptera frugiperda, Sulphur, and Th. occidentalis* against *Metarhizium anisopliae* (strain *UNIOESTE 22)* which showed all the treatments as compatible in their dilutions (24CH, 30CH, 100CH; 3CH, 30CH; 6CH, 30CH, 100CH; 30CH, 100CH, 200CH; 200CH, respectively)(Damin et al., 2015).

In Gupta et al. (2015) study, homeopathic medicine *Acid benzoicum, Apis mellifica, K. iodatum, Mezereum, Petroleum, Sulphur, Tellurium, Sulphur iodatum, Graphites, Sepia, Silicea* and *T. occidentalis* in 30C and 200C acted as an evidenced based medicine that conformed both *in vitro* and *in vivo* on oral candidiasis.

The Toledo et al. (2016) study revealed the fungi toxicity action of homeopathic medicine against black rot disease of tomato crops. *Sulphur* and *Staphysagria* 100CH showed suppressive activity as compared to both controls in mycelium growth, even when successed distilled water at 60CH and 100CH inhibited mycelium growth. *Propolis* 6CH, 30CH and 60CH and *Ferrum sulphuricum* 6CH and 30CH caused inhibition and differed from both controls in sporulations. Also, spores germination of the pathogen was found to be reduced by *Isotherapic* of *A. solani* in 6CH, *Isotherapic* of ash in 6CH and *Ferrum sulphuricumin* 30CH medicines (Marcia et al., 2016).

According to the study of Hanif and Dawar (2016), both *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiment showed fungicidal potentials of homeopathic medicines of *T. occidentalis* and *Arnica montana* in globules 30CH against root rot disease in non-leguminous plants (Hanif and Dawar, 2016).

In the study of Prajapati et al. (2017), homeopathic mother tincture *S. jambolanum, F. religiosa, O. sanctum, A. cepa, T. occidentalis* and *H. antidysenterica* showed inhibitory action against human pathogenic fungi *Candida albicans* (Prajapati et al., 2017).

Passeti et al., (2017) experiment proved that *Belladonna* and *bacterial nosode* in 6CH and 30CH, *Silicea* and *Hepar sulphur* in 6CH, 12CH and 30CH, and *oxacillin* showed a significant reduction ($p< 0.001$) on *Methicillin-resistant S. aureus* (Passeti et al., 2017).

Shinde et al. (2018) had conducted two *in vitro* studies on both *Pityrosporum ovale* and *C. albicans* (NCIM-3557), regarding fungal culture homeopathic medicine *Selenium, Cinchona officinalis, Azadiracta indica, Phosphorus, Acidum benzoicum, Zingiber, Sulphur, Acidum sulphuricum, Iodium,* and *Zincum metallicum* in 6CH, 12CH, 30CH, 200CH, 1M respectively that showed inhibitory effects in both fungal culture (Shinde et al., 2018).

EXPERIMENTATION PERSPECTIVE

The above mentioned studies elaborate on scope of homeopathic medicine in the era of drug resistance to various fungal and bacterial cultures. Homoeopathic treatment can be used as an alternative therapy, as cost effective, with no adverse event observed. The experimental methodologies used by the included studies were quite different from each other; needs to be standardized and must be modified by the European Committee on Anti-microbial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) and Clinical Laboratory Standards (CLS) guidelines (Hombach et al., 2011) in performing experiments, specially designed with ultra-high dilution, in order to get more precise and accurate results. Also, it must be replicated in *in vivo* studies. In recent times, these serial diluted medicines have been proved to show the presence of nanoparticles in size of quantum dots and should be seriously taken into consideration about their nano-pharmacological aspects (Chikramane et al., 2010). Various hypothetical models have been put forth in understanding the mechanism of action of these nano medicines and attempts have been made with various molecular studies in identifying the mechanism of action of these medicines (Khuda-Bukhsh , 2003); however, a standard protocol still remains, which is a question of development for the methodologies performed in *in vitro*

Table 1. Summary of *in vitro* studies evaluated with Manuscript Information Score (MIS) ≥ 5.

Author	Organism	Methods and assay	Control	Homeopathic medicine	Potency	MIS	Remark
Asha et al. (2014)	*Fusarium, Aspergillus flavus, Bipolaris, Exserohilum, Curvularia.*	Cello tape flag method, MFC	Sterile water, rectified spirit, ketoconazole.	*Thuja occidentalis*	Q, 30 C, 200 C, 1 M, 10 M and 50 M	7	Inhibitory activity against the fungi causing keratitis
Monalisa Chakraborty et al. (2015)	*Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli.*	Antibacterial activity spread plate technique, FESEM.	Control plates of both the strains	*Aconitum napellus*	6C, 30C, 200C	9	Activity in bacteria in high dilution
Silvana Damin et.al. (2015)	*Metarhizium anisopliae* (strain UNIOESTE 22)	Insecticidal activity, CFU, Germination.	Hydroalcoholic solution (0.1%)	*Arsenicum album, Calcarea carbonica, Kali iodatum, Phosphorus,Silicea; Staphysagria ,Spodopterafrugiperda Sulphur,Thuja occidentalis*	24C; 30C; 100CH 3CH; 30CH; 6CH, 30CH, 100CH; 30CH; 100CH 200CH; 200CH resptectively.	10	All treatments Compatible in fungus *M. anisopliae*
Girish Gupta et al. (2015)	*Oral candidiasis*	Disc diffusion method.	Ketoconazole, rectified spirit, distilled water.	*Acid benzoicum, Apismellifica, Kali iodatum, Mezereum, Petroleum, Sulphur, Tellurium, Sulphur iodatum, Graphites, Sepia, Silicea and Thuja Occidentalis*	30C, 200C	7	Inhibitory activity against *Candida albicans*
Márcia Vargas Toledo et al. (2016)	*Alternaria solani*	Mycelial growth, sporulation and conidial Germination.	Distilled water and hydroalcoholic solution with dynamizations	*Sulphur, Silicea terra, Staphysagria, Phosphorus, Ferrum sulphuricumand Kali iodatum*	6CH and handled to 12, 30 and 100CH (CH:	7	Activity in control of black spot disease in tomato crops
AsmaHanif et al. (2016)	*Rhizoctoniasolani, Fusarium* spp. and *Macrophomina phaseolina*	Growth inhibition percent Paper Disc Diffusion Method	Sterilized distilled water, absolute alcohol, Globules	*Thuja occidentalis and Arnica montana*	30C	7	Activity against non-leguminous crops
Suneel Prajapati et al. (2017)	*C.albicans (MTCC No. 3017)*	Agar disc diffusion method	Ketoconazole, 90% alcohol	*Syzygiumjambolanum, Ficusreligiosa,Oscimum sanctum, Alliumcepa, Thuja occidentalis, Holarrhenaantidysenterica.*	Mother tincture (Q)	10	Antifungal activity present
TâniaAguiarPasseti et al. (2017)	*Multi-Resistant Staphylococcus aures (MRSA)*	MIC	Oxacillin	*Silicea, Hepar sulph, Belladonna and bacterial nosode*	6 CH, 12 CH and 30 CH in sterile 30% alcohol	9	Activity different in different potency of live cells
Chetan H.shinde et al. (2018)	*Pityrosporum ovale*	Anti-dandruff assay, MIC, Lysis studies	Dispensing alcohol, Zinc pyrithione	*Selenium, Cinchona officinalis, Azadiractaindica, Phosphorus, Acidumbenzoicum, Zingiber, Sulphur, Acidumsulphuricum, Iodium, Zincum metallicum,*	6C,12C,30C,200C,1M	9	Inhibitory activity against the fungus

Table 1 Contd.

Chetan H.Shinde et al. (2018)	*Candida albicans (NCIM-3557)*	Agar diffusion assay, MIC, Germ Tube Inhibition	Clotrimazole Vehicle Control (Dispensing alcohol)	*Acidum Sulphuricum, Acidum Benzoicum, Azadirachta indica, Cinchona officinalis, Iodium, Phosphorus, Selenium, Sulphur, Zincum Metallicum, Zingiber officinale*	6C, 12C, 30 C, 200 C, 1M	8	Inhibitory effect against *Candida albican*

MIC= Minimum Inhibitory Concentration; C or CH= Centesimal Scale (1:99); M=1000CH; NCIM= National collection of Industrial Micro-organism; CFU= Colony Forming Unit; FESEM= Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopic; MFC= Minimum Fungicidal Concentration; MTCC= Microbial Type Culture Collection; UNIOESTE= Universidade do Oeste do Parana; MIS= Manuscript Information Score.

Table 2. Manuscript Information Score of the included studies.

Author	Year	Type	Description in the study					Total score
			Experimental procedure	Materials	Measuring instruments	Potentization	Controls	
Asha et al.	2014	*Fusarium, Aspergillus flavus,Bipolaris, Exserohilum, Curvularia*	2	2	1	2	1	8
Monalisa Chakraborty et al.	2015	*Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli*	2	2	2	2	1	9
Silvana Damin et al.	2015	*Metarhiziumanisopliae(strain UNIOESTE 22)*	2	2	2	2	2	10
Girish Gupta et al.	2015	*Oral candidiasis*	2	2	1	1	1	7
Márcia Vargas Toledo et al.	2016	*Alternaria solani*	2	2	1	1	1	7
AsmaHanif et al.	2016	*Rhizoctoniasolani, Fusarium spp. And Macrophominaphaseolina.*	2	1	1	2	1	7
Suneel Prajapati et al.	2017	*C.albicans(MTCC No. 3017)*	2	2	2	2	2	10
TâniaAguiarPasseti et al.	2017	*Multi-Resistant Staphylococcus Aures (MRSA)*	2	2	2	2	1	9
Chetan H. Shinde et al.	2018	*Pityrosporumovale*	2	2	2	1	2	9
Chetan H. Shinde et al.	2018	*Candida albicans (NCIM- 3557)*	2	1	2	1	2	8

and *in vivo* studies in homeopathy.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review demonstrates homeopathy as an effective agent, in *in vitro* studies and can lead to a new development with the help of a new preclinical Homeopathic Materia Medica on pathogens. However, substantial evidence on these serial diluted medicines results must be replicated with a standardized methodology to provide conclusive evidence.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate Dr. Manikrao Salunkhe, Vice Chancellor, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Pune, India; staff of Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Pune,

India; staff of Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Department of Post Graduate and Research Centre, Pune, India: Dr. Anita S. Patil (P.G. Coordinator), Dr. Manisha P. Gajendragadkar and Dr. Chetan H. Shinde, for the support, assistance, guidance and relentless supervision throughout the course of the research.

REFERENCES

Asha R, Nisha P, Suneer Khan K, Nisha P, Mythili A (2014). *In vitro* activity of various potencies of homeopathic drug Thuja against molds involved in mycotic keratitis. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 6(10):555-559.

Bellavite P, Ortolani R, Conforti A (2006). Immunology and homeopathy. 3. Experimental studies on animal models. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 3(2):171-186.

Chakraborty M, Ghosh S, Das S, Basu R, Nandy P (2015). Effect of Different Potencies of Nanomedicine Aconitum Napellus on Its Spectral and Antibacterial Properties. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology 4(8):6861-6867.

Chikramane PS, Suresh AK, Bellare JR, Kane SG (2010). Extreme homeopathic dilutions retain starting materials: A nanoparticulate perspective. Homeopathy 99(4):231-242. doi:10.1016/j.homp.2010.05.006

Clausen J, Van Wijk R, Albrecht H (2011). Review of the use of high potencies in basic research on homeopathy. Homeopathy 100(4):288-292.

Damin S, Alves LFA, Bonini AK, Alexandre TM (2015). *In vitro* assay on homeopathic solutions on Metarhizium anisopliae (Metsch) Sorok (Ascomycota: Clavicipitaceae). Arquivos do Instituto Biológico pp. 1-8. doi:10.1590/1808-1657001142013

Gupta G, Srivastava A, Gupta N, Gupta G, Mishra S (2015). Anti-candidal activity of homoeopathic drugs: An *in-vitro* evaluation. Indian Journal of Research in Homeopathy 9(2):79.

Hanif A, Dawar S (2016). Comparative Studies Using Homeopathic Globules for Leguminous and Non-Leguminous Crop Management against Root Rot Fungi. Journal of Agricultural Science 8(9):205-216.

Hombach M, Bloemberg GV, Bottger EC (2012). Effects of clinical breakpoint changes in CLSI guidelines 2010/2011 and EUCAST guidelines 2011 on antibiotic susceptibility test reporting of Gram-negative bacilli. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 67(3):622-632.

Khuda-Bukhsh AR (2003). Towards understanding molecular mechanisms of action of homeopathic drugs: An overview. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry 253(1-2):339-345.

Manchanda R (2018). Experimentation in homoeopathy: History and prospects. Indian Journal of Research in Homeopathy 12(2):61-63

Marcia VT, Jose RS, Carlos MB, Thaisa MM, Monica AM, Bruna BR, Eloisa L, Sidiane CR,Carla RK,Lindomar A (2016). Fungitoxicity activity of homeopathic medicines on Alternaria solani. African Journal of Agricultural Research 11(39):3824-3838.

Passeti TA, Ruggero ADA, Bissoli R, Navickas R, Luiz F, Fonseca A (2017). Action of Some Homeopathic Medicine on the Growth of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) *In vitro*. Annals of Clinical Cytology and Pathology 3(6):1074.

Prajapati S, Sharma M, Gupta P, Kumar M, Dwivedi B, Arya B (2017). Evaluation of antifungal activity of different homoeopathic mother tinctures against Candida albicans. Indian Journal of Research in Homeopathy 11(4):237-243

Shinde CH, Pashmin KA, Bipinraj NK, Arun BJ (2018). *In vitro* study for the anti - cancdida activity of Homoeopathic medicines against *Candida Albicans*. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research 8(9):57-61. http://www.ijhsr.org/IJHSR_Vol.8_Issue.9_Sep2018/9.pdf

Shinde CH, Sarin V, Kaur Anand P, Kunchiraman BN, Jadhav AB (2018). *In vitro* evaluation for antidandruff activity of selected homoeopathic medicines against Pityrosporum ovale. International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews 5(3):680-683. http://ijrar.com/.

Teixeira MZ, Carneiro SMTPG (2017). Effects of homeopathic high dilutions on plants: literature review. Revista de Homeopatia 80(3):104-120.

Vol. 18(13), pp. 280-288, 27 March, 2019
DOI: 10.5897/AJB2019.16745
Article Number: 8A622A460563
ISSN: 1684-5315

http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB

African Journal of Biotechnology

Full Length Research Paper

Isolation of five type IIG restriction modification (RM) enzyme genes with different DNA recognition sites from a single environmental DNA sample

Le Thi Kim Tuyen[1*], Bach Khanh Hoa[1] and Lam Nguyen-Ngọc[2]

[1]Laboratory of Biology, Thang Long Institute of Mathematics and Applied Sciences, Thang Long University, Hanoi, Vietnam.
[2]Institute of Oceanography, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Vietnam.

Received 16 January, 2019; Accepted 22 March, 2019

A new method of screening type IIG restriction modification (RM) enzyme has been developed using REBASE, a database of all known and putative restriction enzymes and methyltransferases found throughout the bacterial genome sequences available in GENBANK. The *in silico* analysis of a group of putative type IIG RM enzymes in *Microcystis aeruginosa* showed a high sequence homology at both ends. This peculiarity allows for primers designing that can be used in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the corresponding genes out of one environmental DNA extracted from a cyanobacteria-rich sample. PCR products were cloned into the pSAPV6 vector. Among eight recombinant DNA sequenced, five showed different sequences in the protein regions that interact specifically with DNA.". These five recombinant proteins expressed type IIG RM enzyme activity. Their specificities were determined, and all correspond to new DNA recognition sites.

Key words: Environmental DNA, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), recombinant protein, Type IIG RM enzyme screening, uncultured bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

The type II restriction enzymes discovered in 1968 (Smith and Welcox, 1970) are endonucleases that cut DNA at specific 4-8 nucleotide sequences which mainly exist in prokaryotes. Each restriction enzyme always pairs with a methyltransferase which modifies host DNA at the same site. The two enzymes form a restriction modification (RM) system that probably contributes to protecting bacteria against foreign DNA (Raleigh and Brooks, 1998). Restriction enzymes are widely used as tools (Roberts, 2005) in molecular biology procedures. Approximately 4000 restriction enzymes have been characterized, which recognize 365 different sites (Pingoud et al., 2014), thus representing a statistically minor fraction of all the possible DNA sequences. Such a small diversity of

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ltkimtuyen@thanglong.edu.vn. Tel: +84 24-39989958.

specificities may be explained by the first screening procedures that allow analysis of only a small percentage of bacteria able to grow on common rich media. Though sharing the same function of cutting DNA after binding to specific recognition sites, these classical restriction enzymes of different specificities, has no sequence homology that could be used to identify others in bacterial genomes (Wilson, 1991). Their possible location in a genome could only be suggested by the presence of methyltransferase genes (Pósfai et al., 1989) always located close to the related restriction enzyme genes. The lack of sequence homology also makes the protein-DNA interactions impossible to study. The further discovery of restriction enzyme families with high homology sequences, though able to recognize different recognition sites, has facilitated the understanding of protein-DNA interactions in this class of enzymes.

So far, the MmeI (Morgan et al., 2009) and the *Thermus* families (Skowron et al., 2003, 2017; Zylicz-Stachula et al., 2012) have been discovered. These enzyme families are referred to as Type II C/G enzymes, meaning that restriction and methyltransferase activities are on the same protein, thus a complete RM system in itself, instead of being a heterodimer as for classical RM enzymes. Due to this peculiarity, whenever a mutation occurs altering the nucleotide sequence of the recognition site, the two functions can still operate in a concerted manner by cutting the new nucleotide sequence of foreign DNA and modifying the same new sequence of the host genome. The MmeI family, first discovered, was found through *in silico* investigations in REBASE (Roberts et al., 2015), a database of real or putative restriction enzymes and methyltransferases screened in all the bacterial genomes available in GenBank. Several putative MmeI-like proteins which have extensive sequence homology were amplified from the original sequenced bacterial DNA and cloned. Active recombinant proteins have been characterized for their recognition specificities. In the two families so far described, the single-chain proteins are similarly structured with the COOH-restriction enzyme domain linked to the methyl transferase domain by a helical domain followed by the target recognition domain (TRD) -NH2. TRD is a variable region which interacts with distinctive DNA sequences (Klimasauskas et al., 1991). Thus, the multi-specific MmeI family has allowed understanding the interaction rules between the amino acids (AA) in the TRD regions and the nucleotides of the recognition sequence. Based on this, the authors were able to modify the enzyme specificity as wished (Morgan and Luyten, 2009). In the *Thermus aquaticus* family, TaqII and TaqIII have highly similar protein sequences although they have different specificities. These enzymes are clear examples that specificity evolution occurs naturally (Furuta et al., 2010; Furuta and Kobayashi, 2012).

The type IIG RM enzymes can frequently be found in the sequence of bacterial genomes, a finding suggesting they could be an efficient strategy for prokaryotes to diversifying their defensive systems (Blow et al., 2016). In REBASE, *Microcystis aeruginosa* NIES-843 strain (Kaneko et al., 2007) was found to be particularly rich in such enzymes and especially possessed the *Mae843ORF8180* coding for a putative IIG RM enzyme. A BLAST search using this enzyme as query, identified several putative genes in many different strains of *M. aeruginosa.* Strikingly, the translated proteins possessed highly conserved sequences at both -COOH and -NH_2 ends. In contrast, the TRD region about 1200 nucleotides long is variable. This observation led us to screen for the presence of similar enzymes in environmental DNA, using both ends as primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the corresponding genes. *M. aeruginosa* is blue-green algae widespread in countries such as Vietnam (Duong et al., 2013, 2014), present in blue-green waters where they can overgrow and form a green film at the surface of ponds. This article describes the characterization of type IIG RM genes in environmental DNA extracted from a pond at Cau Dien, Hanoi, encoding proteins bearing novel recognition sites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Natural starting material

A pond located at Cau Dien, Nam Tu Liem, Hanoi was selected for its green color water. A water sample of around 200-300 ml was taken out of it. After 1 g sedimentation of large debris in the sample bottle, 200 ml of the supernatant were centrifuged for 8 min, at 3100 *g* in 50 ml Falcon tubes to pellet down living cells. The pellet was transferred into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and washed 3 times in TE (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA). Aliquots of 50 µl pellets were stored at -30°C. Upon microscopic control, bacterial mass cells characteristic of *M. aeruginosa* were observed.

Environmental DNA extraction

The environmental DNA is extracted from thawed 50 µl pellets using DNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen) (Schober and Kurmayer, 2006). DNA is eluted in 100 µl TE. DNA concentration as estimated on agarose gel with a standard DNA marker, is about 20 ng/µl.

Detection of *Mae843ORF8180* - like genes in environmental DNA by PCR

Primers were produced by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. The forward primer has been designed so as to anneal at the 5’ region of the *Mae843ORF8180* gene with an extension of the PstI and NdeI restriction recognition sites to facilitate further cloning of PCR products in appropriate plasmids: 5'GTTCTGCAGTTAAGGTTTAACATATGTCTAGATTATTAATCAG CCAGTATCAG3'. The reverse primer anneals to the 3’end of the gene with the BglII recognition site: 5'GTTGTTAGATCTTTAATGTCTCATCGCTTCTATTATTTTCAT3'. PCR was performed using 1 µl of environmental DNA at 4 different $MgCl_2$ concentrations (1.5; 2.5; 3.5 and 4.5 mM). Reaction volume is 60 µl composed of 0.02 U/µl Q5 Hot Start polymerase (New

England Biolabs), Buffer Q5 polymerase 1X, 200 µM dNTP; 0.2 µM forward primer and reverse primer. The PCR conditions are: one initial cycle at 98°C, 30 s, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 63°C for 20 s, 72°C 1 min 30 s and final elongation at 72°C for 2 min. PCR products obtained at all 4 different $MgCl_2$ concentrations, are mixed and further concentrated and purified on Zymo 25 column (Zymo Research, USA).

Gene cloning

Purified PCR products (200 µl) were cut with 2 µl NdeI and 3 µl BglII (NEB and then purified on Zymo 5 column (Zymo research, USA). The restricted fragments were ligated into the pSAPv6 T7 expression vector (Samuelson et al., 2004) (provided by New England Biolabs). The recombinant plasmids were used to transform *Escherichia coli* ER3081 (F ¯λ- *fhuA2 lacZ::T7 gene1 [lon] ompT gal attB::(pCD13-lysY, lacI* ^{q}) *sulA11 R(mcr-73::miniTn10–TetS)2 [dcm] R(zgb-210::Tn10 –TetS) endA1Δ(mcrC-mrr)114::IS10*) provided by New England Biolabs. Colonies were tested for the presence of the gene by PCR as follows. Cells of individual bacterial colonies were put into 100 µl distilled water and heat broken at 100°C, 5 min. One µl of the resulting solution was assayed with Quick-Load Taq 2X Master Mix in 30 µl reaction volume. PCR conditions were: one initial cycle at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 53°C for 30 s, 68°C for 3 min and a final elongation at 68°C for 5 min. Positive cells were grown overnight and recombinant plasmids were extracted from 3 ml cell cultures using Qiagen Miniprep Kit.

Nested PCR to amplify TRD regions

A nested PCR is carried out to amplify the 1190 bp long TRD variable regions lying between the nucleotide 1326 and 2507 of the *Mae843ORF8180* gene. The forward primer 5'-ATTGGGAATCCTCCTTATAATGCT-3' and the reverse primer 5'-GTAGTGGAAGATGTCGAGTTTGGT-3', were used to amplify 40 ng recombinant plasmid with Taq polymerase under the following conditions: one initial cycle at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 48°C for 30 s, 68°C for 1 min 15 s and a final elongation at 68°C for 5 min. The amplified TRD regions were then sent to VNDAT Co. Ltd. for sequencing.

Recombinant protein expression

Recombinant cells were picked up and analyzed as follows for the presence of a specific endonuclease activity. Each single colony was grown in 30 ml LB + chloramphenicol medium at 37°C for about 3 h on a high speed rotation shaker, till reaching exponential growth. Gene expression was induced by adding 0.3 mM Isopropyl-β-D-1-Thio galactopyranoside (IPTG, Sigma) and the culture was prolonged for 2 more hours. Cells then after were centrifuged at 4°C, at 3100 *g* and the pellet resuspended in 1.5 ml sonication buffer (20 mM Tris, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA). The pellets were frozen at -30°C and thawed before being lyzed with 20 µl lysozyme 10 mg/ml, 1 h at 4°C. lyzed cells were centrifuged at 12000 *g* (4°C) and the supernatant was assayed for restriction activity in a 25 µl reaction volume containing Cutsmart buffer, S-Adenosylmethionine (New England Biolabs) and 0.3 µg pAde2-BsaBI standard DNA [Adenovirus-2 (GenBank Accession #: NC_001405), cut with the restriction enzyme BsaBI (position 4051 and 23479) and ligated into pUC19. In some cases, restriction activity was stronger after a fractionation step on a 1 ml Heparin Sepharose column washed in sonication buffer and a 50 mM-0.9 M NaCl gradient elution. The restriction patterns were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. Specific methyltransferase activity was detected by the SMRT sequencing of the recombinant *Escherichia coli* genome, performed by New England Biolabs.

Bioinformatics

REBASE was used for analyzing type IIG RM enzymes in *Microcystis* sp. Similarity searches were performed using BLAST programs (NCBI Resource coordinators, 2016). Sequence alignments were performed using PROMALS3D (Pei and Grishin, 2007).

RESULTS

Screening in REBASE genes coding for type IIG RM putative enzymes from *M. aeruginosa*

Three putative genes coding for type IIG RM putative enzymes were found in *M. aeruginosa: Mae2549ORF1146*, *Mae843ORF8180* and *Mae2481ORF1162*. The protein sequences were compared using PROMALS (Figure 1). They had almost the same length, being 997, 998 and 1003 amino acids long, respectively. The sequences at the NH_2- end to AA 412 and at the –COOH end from AA 810 to the end are 100% homologous. These putative RM type IIG enzymes displayed, as in MmeI and Thermus families, three functional domains: i) the Rease catalytic domain extending from AA 1 to AA 117 overpassing the PD-EXK cleavage catalytic motif; ii) the Mtase catalytic domain from AA 303 to AA 451 recognizable by the motif X, GIVYT, the S-Adenosylmethionine binding motif I, LDPTGTGTF, the methylation catalytic motif IV,GNPPY-; and iii) the terminal portion extending from AA 452 includes a variable sequence interacting with DNA target. From AA 118 to AA 302, stands the helical domain which links the REase catalytic domain to the Mtase catalytic domain. A BLASTP search using one of these protein sequences as a query against the non-redundant Genbank database yielded many results at highly significant expectation values (E equal to 0.0 and identities value > 78%) in many other proteins of *M. aeruginosa*. Analyzing 16 of these proteins, the lengths showed to vary only from 996 to 1011 amino acids and the same conserved sequences were found at both NH_2- and –COOH ends. The *Mae843ORF818* gene was chosen to design primers from 5'ends to be used in PCR experiments on environmental DNA extracted from a natural water sample rich in *M. aeruginosa*.

PCR of environmental Cau Dien DNA sample.

The first DNA amplification yielded 3000 bp long products along with much smaller (below 500 bp) non-specific amplification products. The 3000 bp PCR products were purified on agarose gel, cleaned on Zymo column before being amplified by additional 12 PCR cycles (Figure 2). Overall amplified DNA was estimated to be 120 ng.



Figure 1. Promals3D alignment of RM type IIG proteins from *M. aeruginosa* found in REBASE. From AA 1 to AA 117 The REase catalytic domain with the PD-EXK cleavage catalytic motif; from AA 118 to AA 303: the helical domain that join the REase catalytic domain to the Mtase catalytic domain; from AA 303 to AA 451: the Mtase catalytic domainthat contains - the conserved motif X, GIVYT, the S-adenosylmethionine binding motif I, LDPTGTGTF, the methylation catalytic motif IV,GNPPY-; from AA 452 to AA 793: a variable region referred to the specific DNA recognition domain.



Figure 2. PCR of CD sample environmental DNA. 1, 1 kb DNA ladder; 2, PCR amplification.



Figure 3. Bam HI restriction of the recombinant CD genes. 1,1 kb DNA ladder; 2, CD1; 3:CD4.

Cloning PCR products and identifying recombinant gene.

The cleaned PCR products were restricted with BglII and NdeI and ligated to pSAPV6. The recombinant plasmids were used to transform *E. coli*. Among 32 transformed clones tested, 8 harbored the expected 3000 bp long insert fragments and were named: CD1, CD4, CD5, CD7, CD10, CD16, CD18 and CD20 respectively

Restriction analysis with BamHI was performed knowing that members of the family of genes under study all have a BamHI site at the nucleotide 996, located in the first conservative region of the coded protein. Figure 3 illustrates the presence of 2 bands as expected at 1000 and 2000 bp. Furthermore, nested PCR has been done to amplify the variable part of the gene coding for the TRD region of the type IIG RM recombinant proteins. The primers correspond to the conserved parts located in the vicinity of the variable part. PCR should yield 1190 bp fragments. The results (not shown here) give bands of the expected size for all CD recombinant strains analyzed. Sequences of these PCR fragments show some heterogeneity among CDs, where CD1, CD4, CD5, CD18 and CD20 were different while CD7 and CD16 were 100% similar to CD1, CD10 being 100% similar to CD4. Thus, 5 distinct genes differing in the sequence of the TRD region, have been identified. Sequences were aligned for comparison using PROMALS3D (Figure 4).

Expression of the recombinant proteins

All supernatants of lyzed recombinant cells displayed restriction activity in assays on standard DNA (Figure 5). CD4 has the highest restriction activity that begins to decrease after the supernatant is 27-fold diluted. The sequence recognition site had already been defined as 5'-GCAAAAG-3'/5'-CTTTTGC-3' (Le and Nguyen, 2017). Results based on specificity of restriction were confirmed by SMRT sequencing of the CD4 recombinant *E. coli* genome which showed methyltransferase modifications. Restriction activities of CD1, CD5, CD18 and CD20 were weaker thus the specificities were more difficult to define. Nevertheless, SMRT sequencing of CD1, CD5 and CD20 *E. coli* recombinants showed the effects of methyltransferase specificities (Table 1) that should also correspond to the restriction activities respectively.

DISCUSSION

Our results concern the screening in one single natural sample of type IIG RM enzymes alike putative ones found in *M. aeruginosa* through REBASE. A BLAST search has given other very similar proteins from this genus. All these putative proteins have strictly the same sequences at the beginning and the end of the protein that makes possible to design primers for PCR amplification of the genes present in the environmental DNA extracted from the sample water rich in blue green cyanobacteria. The PCR results give DNA amplification of 3000 bp products that correspond to the chosen *in*

Figure 4. Promals3D alignment of the 5 different CD recombinant corresponding to the variable part of the protein recognizing the DNA sequence.



Figure 5. Restriction activity expressions in different CD clones. 1, CD1 cuts pAde BSABI; 4, CD4 cuts pAde BSABI; 5, CD5 cuts pAde BSABI; 7-8-9,12,13,14 purified fractions of CD18 recovered from Heparin Sephararose column cut pAdeBSABI; 12, CD20 cuts pAde BSABI; 13, CD20 cuts lambda DNA; 2-6-14: lambda-HindIII+PhiX-HaeIII; 3, 1kb NEB Marker; 10-11, 1kb NEB Marker + pAde BSABI.

Table 1. Recognition specificity determined by detection of the methylated sequences of the *Escherichia coli* genomes in the SMRT sequencing results.

Recombinant protein	Recognition specificity
CD1	CATCNAG
CD4	GCAAAAG
CD5	CTCGNAT
CD20	CTCCNAG

silico gene, *Mae843ORF8180*. After cloning of individual PCR products, two other experiments confirm this assessment: the BamHI restriction patterns fit the presence of the restricted site in the conservative part of the gene; the nested PCR of the variable region coding for the TRD zone of the protein amplify the right length fragments.

The sequences of 8 recombinant *E. coli* clones show 5 different DNA sequences coding for the TRD regions (CD1, CD4, CD5, CD18 and CD20). All these recombinant clones have shown restriction activities and the enzyme specificities could have been determined through restriction analysis with CD4 clone which have a strong restriction activity (Le and Nguyen, 2017). Otherwise, the enzyme specificities of CD1, CD5, and CD20 have been determined through the methyltransferase activity on the basis of SMRT sequencing of the respective recombinant *E. coli* clones.

Thus, from *in silico* putative genes, we get in one natural sample several genes coding for different active proteins. In this case, we are in presence of the same genes showing allelic diversity in the TRD region (Pingoud et al., 2014). All these enzyme specificities are new. As well as the MmeI-like enzymes, found *in silico*, have all new specificity recognition (Morgan et al., 2009; Le et al., 2015). In their study, the type IIG RM enzymes were analysed from all known bacterial strains. In this study, the type IIG RM enzymes found *in silico* in *Microcystis aeruginosa* strains shared highly homology sequences that allowed us to pick up proteins of different specificities in one natural sample. Thus, the RM enzyme families are naturally adapted to change easily their

recognition site specificities. Indeed, this is an adequate way for the host bacteria to adjust rapidly against the phages that could have escaped restriction at the current recognition site.

While comparing the *Mae843ORF8180* - like proteins with MmeI or *Thermus aquaticus* families using BLAST, no homology is detected. Furthermore, *Mae843ORF8180* - like proteins have in average 1000 amino acids, MmeI - like proteins have in average 920 amino acids and Thermus family proteins are 1090 amino acids. Further experiments should be done to know if the *Mae843ORF8180* - like protein could be considered as a third RM IIG family.

The next step should be the characterization of more *Mae843ORF8180*- like genes in other local cyanobacteria rich samples. On this basis, predictions of the interactions between the amino-acid and recognized DNA bases could be made in order to be able to engineer these enzymes and generate the desired recognition sequences (Morgan and Luyten, 2009; Callahan et al, 2016). Analysing genes from bulk natural DNA could be more efficient than from *in vitro* grown cells. This could be useful to find genes in bacteria that live in special environmental conditions that are difficult to reproduce under laboratory conditions, or for bacteria which grow slowly, such as *M. aeruginosa* cells which requires one week dividing. Furthermore, out of one natural sample, we simultaneously obtain enzymes probably derived from different bacterial strains, growing in the same environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by New England Biolabs, Inc. 240 County Road, Ipswitch, MA 01938, USA.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Blow MJ, Clark TA, Daum CG, Deutschbauer AM, Fomenkov A, Fries R, Froula J, Kang DD, Malmstrom RR, Morgan RD, Posfai J, Singh K, Visel A, Wetmore K, Zhao Z, Rubin EM, Korlach J, Pennacchio LA, Roberts RJ (2016). The epigenomic landscape of prokaryotes. PLOS Genetics 12(2):e1005854.

Callahan SJ, Luyten YA, Gupta YK, Wilson GG, Roberts RJ, Morgan RD, Aggarwal AK (2016). Structure of type IIL restriction-modification enzyme MmeI in complex with DNA has implications for engineering new specificities. PLOS Biology 14(4):e1002442.

Duong TT, Jahnichen S, Le TPQ, Ho CT, Hoang TK, Nguyen TK, Vu TN, Dang DK (2014). The occurrence of cyanobacteria and microcystins in the Hoan Kiem Lake and the Nui Coc reservoir (North Vietnam). Environmental Earth Sciences 71(5):2419–2427.

Duong TT, Le TPQ, Dao TS, Pflugmacher S, Rochelle-Newall E, Hoang TK, Vu TN, Ho CT, Dang DK (2013). Seasonal variation of Cyanobacteria and microcystins in the Nui Coc Reservoir, Northern Vietnam. Journal of Applied Phycology 25(4):1065-1075.

Furuta Y, Abe K, Kobayashi I (2010). Genome comparison and context analysis reveals putative mobile forms of restriction–modification systems and related rearrangements. Nucleic Acids Research 38(7):2428-2443.

Furuta Y, Kobayashi I (2012). Mobility of DNA sequence recognition domains in DNA methyltransferases suggests epigenetics-driven adaptive evolution. Mobile Genetic Elements 2(6):292-296.

Kaneko T, Nakajima N, Okamoto S, Suzuki I, Tanabe Y, Tamaoki M, Nakamura Y, Kasai F, Watanabe A, Kawashima K, Kishida Y (2007). Complete Genomic Structure of the Bloom-forming Toxic Cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa NIES-843. DNA Research 14(6):247-256.

Klimasauskas S, Nelson JL, Roberts RJ (1991). The sequence specificity domain of cytosine-C5 methylases. Nucleic Acids Research 19(22):6183-6190.

Le TKT, Nguyen TMP (2017). New restriction enzyme recombinant using a *Mae843ORF8180* - like gene isolated from natural samples. Vietnam Journal of Preventive Medecine 27(2):170-176.

Le TKT, Bach KH, Vu NT, Morgan RD (2015). Expression and determination of a MmeI-like restriction enzyme found in silico. Journal of Biotechnology 13(3):831-836.

Morgan RD, Dwinell EA, Bhatia TK, Lang EM, Luyten YA (2009). The MmeI family: type II restriction–modification enzymes that employ single-strand modification for host protection. Nucleic Acids Research 37(15):5208-5221.

Morgan RD, Luyten YA (2009). Rational engineering of type II restriction endonuclease DNA binding and cleavage specificity. Nucleic Acids Research 37(15):5222-5233.

NCBI Resource Coordinators (2016). Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Research 44(D1):D7-19

Pei J, Grishin NV (2007). PROMALS: towards accurate multiple sequence alignments of distantly related proteins. Bioinformatics 23(7):802-808.

Pingoud A, Wilson GG, Wende W (2014). Type II restriction endonucleases—a historical perspective and more. Nucleic Acids Research 42(12):7489-7527.

Pósfai J, Bhagwat AS, Pósfai G, Roberts RJ (1989). Predictive motifs derived from cytosine methyltransferases. Nucleic Acids Research 17(7):2421-2435.

Raleigh EA, Brooks JE (1998). Restriction Modification Systems: Where They Are and What They Do, in: de Bruijn FJ, Lupski JR, Weinstock GM (Eds), Bacterial Genomes. Springer, Boston pp. 78-92.

Roberts RJ (2005). How restriction enzymes became the workhorses of molecular biology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102(17):5905-5908.

Roberts RJ, Vincze T, Pósfai J, Macelis D (2015). REBASE—a database for DNA restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 43(D1):D298-299.

Samuelson JC, Zhu Z, Xu S (2004). The isolation of strand-specific nicking endonucleases from a randomized SapI expression library. Nucleic Acids Research 32(12):3661-3671.

Schober E, Kurmayer R (2006). Evaluation of different DNA sampling techniques for the application of the real-time PCR method for the quantification of cyanobacteria in water. Letters in Applied Microbiology 42(4):412-417.

Skowron PM, Anton BP, Czajkowska E, Zebrowska J, Sulecka E, Krefft D, Jezewska-Frackowiak J, Zolnierkiewicz O, Witkowska M, Morgan RD, Wilson GG, Fomenkov A, Roberts RJ, Zylicz-Stachula A (2017). The third restriction–modification system from Thermus aquaticus YT-1: solving the riddle of two TaqII specificities. Nucleic Acids Research 45(15):9005-9018.

Skowron PM, Majewski J, Żylicz-Stachula A, Rutkowska SM, Jaworowska I, Harasimowicz-Słowińska RI (2003). A new Thermus sp. class-IIS enzyme sub-family: isolation of a ‘twin’ endonuclease TspDTI with a novel specificity 5′-ATGAA(N11/9)-3′, related to TspGWI, TaqII and Tth111II. Nucleic Acids Research 31(14):e74.

Smith HO, Welcox KW (1970). A Restriction enzyme from *Hemophilus influenzae*. Journal of Molecular Biology 51(2):379-391.

Wilson GG (1991). Organization of restriction-modification systems. Nucleic Acids Research 19(10):2539-2566.

Zylicz-Stachula A, Zolnierkiewicz O, Lubys A, Ramanauskaite D, Mitkaite G, Bujnicki JM, Skowron PM (2012). Related bifunctional restriction endonuclease-methyltransferase triplets: TspDTI, Tth111II/TthHB27I and TsoI with distinct specificities. BMC Molecular Biology 13:13.

Related Journals: